Finis

NYFA

an x



# TA AND THE SAME TOTAL STATE TO THE TOTAL



# • 2 Cessna caravans, 1 Pilatus Porter and 1 helicopter based out of Kugluktuk.

- based out of Kugluktuk (Piper Malibu and Cessna 206)
- June 2: Both calving ground recons started and status of calving assessed.
- June 3 7: Systematic reconnaissance survey for both calving grounds.
- June 5: BNE photographic survey completed.
- June 6: Bathurst photographic completed.
- June 5 and 6: BNE composition survey on all strata.
- June 6: Bathurst composition survey commenced in photo strata.
- June 7: Bathurst composition survey interrupted due to weather
- June 9: Bathurst composition survey completed on the North strata.

# Logistics

1 Cessna caravan and 1 helicopter based out of Ekati. 2 photo planes

June 8: Bathurst composition survey completed in the Photo, West and South Strata.









# Bluenose-East and Bathurst Systematic Reconnaissance Coverage June 2 – 9, 2015







survey and previous calving ground surveys

### June 2013

		June 7 Density Classes
		Med Density (1.0 to 9.9/sq km)
		Low Density (0.1 to 0.9 /sq km)
2 39 34 29 <b>1</b> 21 21		Flown - no obs
	5.9 6.9 19.5 13.8 1 14.3 2.8 4.8 4.5 0 0	6.4 0
	1.6 16.5 13 27.9 12.6 5.3 3.9 1.4 15.3 3.3 0	
	38     68     19     2     19     13       0     0     0     68	· · ·
	1 21 1 29 56 28 0 34	
	49 10 8 33 0 0 0 0 0 0	

# Transect layout of the photo and visual strata. Also shown are the locations of collared females during the primary reconnaissance survey (June 4<sup>th</sup>) and photo/visual survey (June 5<sup>th</sup>). Red lines connect the locations for June 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> for individual caribou.



### Distribution and density of caribou counted on the photo and visual survey lines June 4<sup>th.</sup>



# Comparison of 2015 breeding female estimate with estimates from the 2013 and 2010 calving ground surveys for the Bluenose-East herd.



# **Bluenose-East extrapolated Herd Size**

# Adult female based extrapolated estimate

# 21% annual decline rate





### Thresholds defined: Why are we so concerned about the Bluenose-East Herd?

- OLS modeling estimated adult female survival is 0.71
- Caribou herds need survival rates of at least 0.8 to 0.85 to maintain stability





# Thresholds defined: Why are we so concerned about the Bluenose-East Herd?

- Calf cow ratios estimate productivity (are caribou replacing themselves)?
- Rule of thumb: Should be >0.3 for herd stability







### Thresholds defined: Why are we so concerned about the Bluenose-East Herd?

Table 1: Thresholds of risk as a function of trend and population size								
Population Size (thousands)								
Lambda	% ch	ange	<30	30-60	60-90	90-120	>120	
>1.1	>1	.0%	5	4	3	2	1	
1.02-1.09	2-	9%	10	8	6	4	2	
0.98-1.02	-2 to	) +2%	15	12	9	6	3	
0.9-0.98	-10	to -2	20	16	12	8	4	
<0.9	<-2	10%	25	20	15	10	5	
Minimizing baryoct rick								
IVIIIIIIZINg narvest risk East herd								
Herd Risk Status								
		Very High	High	Medium	Low Ver	y Low		
	More Conservative	Bulls Only	In	creasing Emphasis on Bull	Harvest Eithe	r Sex Liberal Harvest		
	Harvest	0 Possible Closure	1%	2% 3% Harvest as % of Herd	4% Unre Ha	≥ 5% stricted west		





# Is a high (20-30%) annual rate of decline reasonable? The Bathurst herd



### Bathurst 2006-9 Low survival and constant harvest on a declining population steepened the rate of decline

# **Conclusions for Bluenose-East Herd**

- **IOW.**



 Bluenose-East is probably somewhere near the bottom of the orange zone due to its rapid (21%) rate of decline.

 Demographic indicators suggest low adult female survival, low pregnancy rate and low productivity.

Therefore, the resilience of the herd to harvest pressure is



# Bluenose-East and Bathurst Systematic Reconnaissance Coverage June 2 – 9, 2015



### Bathurst caribou herd core calving area: June 2015



### Transect layout of the photo and visual strata for the Bathurst herd June 5-6, 2015.



### Distribution and density of caribou counted on the photo and visual survey lines June 6<sup>th.</sup>



# Comparison of 2015 breeding female estimate with estimates from the 2009 and 2012 calving ground photo surveys for the Bathurst herd.





# Trends in herd size Adult female-based herd estimates

• Using adult female based herd estimates • 2015 estimate is 37% lower than 2012 estimate • Annual rate of decline of 14%

- Based on "caribou year" June (calving) start of each year
- Caribou herds need survival rates of at least 0.8 to 0.85 to maintain stability
- Low sample sizes of collared caribou with large confidence intervals on estimates

Demography Adult female survival 1.0 0.9 8.0 survival 0.7 0.6 Adult female 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 2006







# Productivity Spring calf cow ratios

spring of 2011

# Productivity has declined since

# **Conclusions for Bathurst Herd**

Table 1: Thresholds of risk as a function of trend and population size							
Population Size (thousands)							
Lambda	% change	<30	30-60	60-90	90-120	>120	
>1.1	>10%	5	4	3	2	1	
1.02-1.09	2-9%	10	8	6	4	2	
0.98-1.02	-2 to +2%	15	12	9	6	3	
0.9-0.98	-10 to -2	_20	16	12	8	4	
<0.9	<-10%	25	20	15	10	5	

• The Bathurst is in the highest risk category given the high rate of decline (approx 14%) and low herd size (approx 20K).

• Basically the demographic analysis suggests that the only way the Bathurst could be stable is if productivity was high...

### Bathurst herd



# Kogng.

