www.nwmb.com
ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ
Nunavunmi Anngutighatigut Aulapkaijitkut Katimajiat
Nunavut Wildlife Management Board

ᐱᓗᐊᑦᑕᐃᓕᓂᖅ ᐆᒪᔪᖅᓂᒃ ᐊᖅᑯᑎᒋᓗᒍ ᐊᑐᖅᑎᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᑐᖅᑲᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᒻᒪᕆᑕ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᖏᑦ
Tammaqtailinahuarniriit anngutighat atuqhugit Inuit qaujimajatuqangillu ilihimaniillu ilitquhiannin
Conserving wildlife through the application of Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit and scientific knowledge

October 7th, 2016

Honourable Joe Savikataaq
Minister of Environment
Government of Nunavut

Re: Nunavut Wildlife Management Board's decisions concerning Bluenose-East caribou harvest management

Dear Minister Savikataaq,

1. NWMB Decisions

On June 16th-17th 2016, the Nunavut Wildlife Management Board (NWMB or Board) held an in-person public hearing in Cambridge Bay, Nunavut, to consider the harvest management of Bluenose-East caribou. The hearing parties in attendance included representatives from the Government of Nunavut – Department of Environment, Government of Northwest Territories – Environment and Natural Resources, Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated, Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board, Kitikmeot Inuit Association, North Slave Métis Alliance, the Hunters and Trappers Organizations of Kugluktuk, Bathurst Inlet, Bay Chimo, and Cambridge Bay, and Qaujimaniliit recognized by their communities as possessing relevant in-depth Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit. Representatives from the Wek'èezhìı Renewable Resources Board were also in attendance as witnesses to the hearing.

The NWMB carefully considered the written and oral arguments and evidence presented during the public hearing[1] at its In-Camera meeting on September 14th 2016, and made the following decisions:

RESOLVED that the Nunavut Wildlife Management Board (NWMB or Board), pursuant to Sections 5.6.16 and 5.6.17(b) of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement, as well as the NWMB's inherent authority as the main instrument of wildlife management in the Nunavut Settlement Area:

1. *Establish an interim total allowable harvest of three hundred and forty (340) Bluenose East caribou in the Nunavut Settlement Area until such time as either (i) circumstances require a revision to that number, or (ii) the Integrated Community*

[1] All of the written submissions received and considered at the hearing are publicly available for download from the Board's website (www.nwmb.com). In addition, the NWMB has produced a full transcript of the oral submissions and questions and answers delivered during the two-day hearing. The transcript is available on request. A summary of each party's position and associated arguments is attached to this letter.

ᑎᑎᖅᑲᒃᑯᖅ 1379
ᐃᖅᑲᓗᐃᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ X0A 0H0
☎ (867) 975-7300
(888) 421-9832

Titiqqap Turaarvia 1379
Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0
☎ (867) 975-7300
(888) 421-9832

Box 1379
Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0
☎ (867) 975-7300
(888) 421-9832

Caribou Management Plan for Bluenose East caribou in the Kitikmeot Region (Bluenose East Caribou Management Plan) is approved and implemented pursuant to Nunavut Land Claims Agreement Sections 5.2.34(d)(i) and 5.3.7 to 5.3.15;

2. *Direct that the Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board – pursuant to Nunavut Land Claims Agreement Subsection 5.7.6(d), in compliance with the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement Section 5.1.5 principles of conservation, and in consultation with the Government of Nunavut - Department of Environment and affected Hunters and Trappers Organizations, with assistance from relevant Qaujimaniliit – promptly determine, on the same interim basis as for the total allowable harvest, the sex ratio of the harvest;*

3. *Recommend that the Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board, Government of Nunavut - Department of Environment and affected Hunters and Trappers Organizations, with assistance – as deemed necessary or advisable – from other qualified organizations and/or relevant Qaujimaniliit, complete the development of the draft Bluenose East Caribou Management Plan – including careful consideration of a potential predator control program – by no later than the end of September 2017; and*

4. *Upon submission of the completed draft Bluenose East Caribou Management Plan for approval by the NWMB pursuant to Nunavut Land Claims Agreement Sections 5.2.34(d)(i) and 5.3.3, promptly hold a public hearing in the Kitikmeot Region – ideally in the community of Kugluktuk – in order to make a decision or decisions concerning the ongoing harvest management regime for the Bluenose East caribou herd in the Nunavut Settlement Area.*

2. Main points of agreement and disagreement among hearing parties[2]

In making the above decisions, the NWMB noted the following points of agreement and disagreement among parties at the public hearing:

a. *Main points of agreement among hearing parties*
   - Caribou herds tend to undergo natural population cycles, featuring periods of abundance and periods of decline.
   - The Bluenose-East caribou herd is experiencing a decline, there is an urgent conservation concern, and management action is needed to support the herd's recovery.
   - If a total allowable harvest is established, the full allocation should go to Inuit.

[2] Please note that these points of agreement and disagreement were compiled during the course of the oral hearing process. However, they were not presented to the hearing parties, either during or following the hearing, for their consideration and response. Accordingly, the NWMB relied upon written and oral hearing submissions to support these points.

- A low total allowable harvest will limit opportunities for Inuit to teach younger generations about hunting, harvesting practices, and traditional ways of life and will have a serious impact on their communities.
- Community-based management initiatives, such as the development of a management plan, are a positive step for the herd's recovery.
- Enforcement of a total allowable harvest in areas where multiple caribou herds interact will be challenging.
- Protecting the herd requires collaboration between governments, communities, and other management authorities.
- Predators such as wolves and bears are an important cause of caribou mortality.

b. Main points of disagreement among hearing parties

- A total allowable harvest is necessary to address the herd's decline, in part by restricting resident non-beneficiary harvest.
- Community-based management could be an effective alternative to a government-enforced total allowable harvest in addressing the decline.
- Hunters and Trappers Organizations have the enforcement capacity to ensure compliance with measures associated with community-based management plans (for example, a harvest limit or sex restrictions).
- Implementing a total allowable harvest would remove harvest management powers from Hunters and Trappers Organizations and place all control with government.
- Predator control measures would be a useful and feasible herd recovery strategy.
- A males-only harvest will benefit the herd's recovery.
- Switching of harvest pressure from the Bathurst caribou herd to Bluenose-East in the Northwest Territories may be a factor in its decline.

3. Rationale for the NWMB's decisions

a. NWMB's Interim total allowable harvest decision

In its decision-making, the NWMB carefully considered the best available scientific information and Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit. The Board noted that the Bluenose-East caribou herd is experiencing an ongoing, severe population decline, as indicated by the results of the Government of Northwest Territories – Environment and Natural Resources spring 2015 population survey. During the public hearing, all parties agreed that the herd is experiencing a decline, although not all parties agreed on the severity of the trend. The Board determined that in this circumstance, a total allowable harvest is necessary to manage harvest and ensure the best chance for the herd's recovery. The hearing parties representing the Kugluktuk Hunters and Trappers Organization, members of which comprise the majority of Bluenose-East caribou harvesters in Nunavut, proposed a community-enforced overall annual harvest limit of 340 caribou, matching the recommended total allowable harvest proposed by the Government of Nunavut. Thus, the Board determined that 340 is an appropriate harvest level to support both the herd's recovery and community needs.

The Board also determined that an interim total allowable harvest will allow additional consideration of harvest management for the herd when the affected Hunters and Trappers Organizations have completed the development of their community-based management plan. Many parties at the hearing expressed concern that establishing a total allowable harvest will impede the community-based caribou management measures of the Kugluktuk Hunters and Trappers Organization, as exercised under *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement* Article 5.7.3,[3] and would place control over harvesting activities with the Government of Nunavut. The NWMB decided that, while the urgent conservation concern necessitates a prompt management response (total allowable harvest), the community-based management plan development process of the Kugluktuk Hunters and Trappers Organization could result in a compelling alternative management response and should be given full Board consideration when completed. As such, the Board determined that an interim total allowable harvest will be the best way to address the conservation concern while supporting community-based management.

b. Sex ratio decision

In addition to a total allowable harvest of 340 caribou, the Government of Nunavut's *Proposal for NWMB Decision* recommended a males-only harvest non-quota limitation. During the public hearing, participating Hunters and Trappers Organizations and Qaujimaniliit were not supportive of the proposed non-quota limitation. The Kugluktuk Hunters and Trappers Organization's draft "Integrated Community Caribou Plan" includes a range of other non-quota limitations, including seasonal restrictions in specific areas near Kugluktuk, but does not include sex-based restrictions. The Board noted during its decision-making session that, due to Kugluktuk's proximity to the Bluenose-East caribou calving ground, Kugluktuk harvesters tend to harvest cows in the spring and bulls in the fall; as a result, seasonal restrictions such as those proposed by the Kugluktuk Hunters and Trappers Organization could have a similar effect to sex-based restrictions. In light of this information, and considering the opposition to the males-only non-quota limitation expressed by multiple hearing parties, the Board decided that the Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board should work with affected communities and other co-management partners, including the Government of Nunavut – Department of Environment, to determine an appropriate sex ratio for the interim total allowable harvest that will be acceptable to Kugluktuk while minimizing the overall impact of harvesting to the herd.

c. Bluenose-East Caribou Management Plan decisions

The NWMB encourages community-based management initiatives and recognizes that the creation of the "Integrated Community Caribou Plan" (Kugluktuk's community-based management plan for Bluenose-East caribou) represents an opportunity for increased collaboration in wildlife management in Nunavut. The quality and level of detail in the draft

---

[3] *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement* Article 5.7.3 describes the authorities of Hunters and Trappers Organizations as follows: "The powers and functions of HTOs shall include the following: (a) the regulation of harvesting practices and techniques among members, including the use of non-quota limitations; (b) the allocation and enforcement of community basic needs levels and adjusted basic needs levels among members; (c) the assignment to non-members, including with or without valuable consideration and conditions, of any portion of community basic needs levels and adjusted basic needs levels; and (d) generally, the management of harvesting among members."

plan suggests that the finished product will merit full consideration by the NWMB as a viable method for Bluenose-East caribou harvest management. The Board looks forward to receiving the completed "Integrated Community Caribou Plan" by the end of September 2017.

d. NWMB's recommendations to co-management partners

While the NWMB recognizes that it does not have the authority to direct the activities of co-management partners, the Board's resolution includes several recommendations to the Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board, Government of Nunavut – Department of Environment, and affected Hunters and Trappers Organizations. Upon completion of the *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement* Article 5 decision-making process, the NWMB will promptly issue follow-up correspondence to the Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board and other co-management partners regarding these recommendations, especially regarding the determination of the sex-ratio for the interim total allowable harvest within a recommended time frame of two months.

4. Conclusion

As per Section 5.3.8 of the *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement*, the NWMB is hereby forwarding its decisions concerning the harvest management of Bluenose-East caribou to you for your review and consideration. The NWMB recognizes the importance of this decision to stakeholders across the entire range of Bluenose-East caribou and looks forward to your prompt reply and the timely completion of the *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement* Article 5 decision-making process.

Should you or your officials have any questions or concerns regarding the contents of this letter, please contact the NWMB.

Sincerely,

Daniel Shewchuk
Acting Chairperson of the
Nunavut Wildlife Management Board

Attached: Summary of public hearing party positions and arguments.

C.C. Ron Elliot, Executive Assistant to Honourable Joe Savikataaq, Government of Nunavut
Drikus Gissing, Director of Wildlife Management, Government of Nunavut – Department of Environment

Appendix 1 – Summary of Bluenose-East caribou harvest management public hearing party positions and arguments

Government of Nunavut – Department of Environment

Position: Supports establishing a total allowable harvest of 340 caribou, with a non-quota limitation of a bulls-only harvest. (Department of Environment written submission, p. 3)

Evidence/Arguments:

- The most recent population estimate of 38,592 caribou, based on the 2015 aerial survey, indicates a significant decline from the previous estimate of 68,000 caribou in 2013, with an estimated annual rate of decline of 21% (Department of Environment written submission, p. 2).
- Additional observations have also indicated that the adult female survival rate and calf-cow ratios are below what is required for the herd to recover, suggesting that the population decline is continuing (Department of Environment written submission, p. 2).
- Department of Environment representatives have shared information about the herd's population trend since 2009 and are aware of community-based management actions that have taken place in Kugluktuk since then (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 11 lines 1-8).
- The Advisory Committee for Cooperation on Wildlife Management has listed the herd as being in the "orange" zone, meaning it is at an intermediate population size with a decreasing trend, and recommends a harvest rate of 2.5% for herds in this zone, or a total harvest of 950 by all harvesters, from both Nunavut and the Northwest Territories. Nunavut's proportion of the harvest has historically represented 36% of the total harvest from the herd, which would be 340 caribou with an overall harvest of 950 across all harvesters (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 13-15).
- Targeting bulls only may help females to produce more calves and assist the herd's recovery (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 15 lines 10-21).

Government of Northwest Territories – Environment and Natural Resources

Position: Supports establishing a total allowable harvest of 340 caribou, with a non-quota limitation of a bulls-only harvest (Bluenose-East Public Hearing Transcript, p. 154 lines 1-8).

Evidence/Arguments:

- The herd has declined from 100,000 adult caribou in 2010 to the current estimate of 68,000, with an estimated annual rate of decline of 29%, indicating a serious conservation concern (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 131 lines 14-22).
- The herd seems likely to decline further based on poor vital rates (cow survival rate, calf survival rate, and pregnancy rate are all estimated to be below the levels

associated with stable herds) (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 134 lines 1-9).

- Survey results and collar data suggest that the decline is not the result of caribou switching to different herds or calving areas (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 134 lines 10-17).
- The total allowable harvest is consistent with the Government of Northwest Territories recommendation of an overall harvest of 950 and proposed allocation between all users, based on the Advisory Committee for Cooperation on Wildlife Management's "Taking Care of Caribou" management plan recommendations for a herd in the orange zone (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 140-141).
- Aboriginal harvester groups and Nunavut harvesters have attended meetings with the Government of Northwest Territories in the past and have generally agreed to their proposed allocation, which includes 340 caribou allocated to Nunavut harvesters (Bluenose-East Public Hearing Transcript, p. 154 lines 9-13).
- Harvesting cows will likely accelerate the decline of the herd, as was understood to be the case for the Bathurst herd (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 151 lines 2-8).

Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board

Position: Supports harvest management implemented through an HTO-led management plan, as opposed to a total allowable harvest (Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board written submission, p. 1).

Evidence/Arguments:

- The Department of Environment has not taken the affected Hunters and Trappers Organizations' harvest management efforts, including the development of a community-based management plan, into account (Kitikmeot Regional Wildlife Board written submission, p. 1).

Kugluktuk Angoniatit Association

Position: Supports harvest management implemented through an HTO-led management plan, as opposed to a total allowable harvest (Kugluktuk Angoniatit Association written submission, p. 1).

Evidence/Arguments:

- A Government of Nunavut-enforced total allowable harvest should be used as a last resort for the herd's recovery (Kugluktuk Angoniatit Association written submission, p. 1).
- The Kugluktuk Hunters and Trappers Organization has the authority to implement its own community-based management measures under *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement* Section 5.7.3, and intends to use this authority to implement community-

based wildlife management initiatives, including a management plan and predator harvest (Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 308-309).

- Since 2009, Kugluktuk Hunters and Trappers Organization has stopped sport hunts and commercial and community caribou harvests (Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 542 lines 21-24).
- Enforcement of locally-developed management actions by local residents will be more effective than government-enforced harvest management. (Kugluktuk Agoniatit Association written submission, p. 2).
- The estimated harvest of Bluenose-East caribou from May 2015-May 2016 by Kugluktuk harvesters is 190 caribou, and the Kugluktuk Hunters and Trappers Organization is willing to enforce a harvest limit of 340 caribou using its own management mechanisms (Kugluktuk Agoniatit Association written submission, p. 3).
- The Kugluktuk Hunters and Trappers Organization has had success in the past in ensuring community compliance with its decisions (Kugluktuk Agoniatit Association written submission, p. 5-6).
- A government-enforced total allowable harvest may negatively impact Inuit hunters by exposing them to legal prosecution for accidental or unavoidable harvesting activities (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing, p. 336 lines 1-21).

Bathurst Inlet (Burnside) Hunters and Trappers Organization

Position: Supports harvest management implemented through an HTO-led management plan, as opposed to a total allowable harvest, as proposed by the Kugluktuk Agoniatiat Association (Bluenose-East Public Hearing Transcript, p. 354 lines 16-24).

Bay Chimo (Umingmaktok) Hunters and Trappers Organization

Position: Supports harvest management implemented through an HTO-led management plan, as opposed to a total allowable harvest, as proposed by the Kugluktuk Agoniatiat Association (Bluenose-East Public Hearing Transcript, p. 356 lines 16-23).

Ekaluktutiak Hunters and Trappers Organization

Position: Supports harvest management implemented through an HTO-led management plan, as opposed to a total allowable harvest (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 336-337).

Evidence/Arguments:

- A government-enforced total allowable harvest may negatively impact Inuit hunters by exposing them to legal prosecution for accidental or unavoidable harvesting activities (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing, p. 336 lines 1-21).

Qaujimaniliit

Position: Do not support a bulls-only harvest (Public Hearing Transcript, p. 427 lines 3-16); support predator (wolf and grizzly bear) management (Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 425-426).

Evidence/Arguments:

- Bulls are the main breeders, and are susceptible to predators during the breeding season (Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p.426-427).
- Predators have a significant impact on the herd. Elders have observed wolves hunting and killing caribou to check the health of the animal without consuming the carcass (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 395-396).
- In the past, Inuit hunters successfully managed predator populations by harvesting them (Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 431 lines 4-6).

Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated

Position: Supports (1) allocating the full amount of any total allowable harvest to Inuit (Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated Letter to NWMB, April 8, 2016); and (2) involving affected communities in the development and implementation of harvest management actions (Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 441 lines 3-5).

Evidence/Arguments:

- Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated's support for the NWMB's decision to postpone striking a basic needs level when establishing total allowable harvest levels for caribou is based on the expectation that all total allowable harvest would be reserved for Inuit in the interim (Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated Letter to NWMB, April 8, 2016).
- Communities are unlikely to be supportive of management approaches if they have not been included in the planning process (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 418).

Kitikmeot Inuit Association

Position: Supports (1) community-based management instead of a total allowable harvest (Public Hearing Transcript, p. 461 lines 2-19) and (2) mobile caribou protection measures (Kitikmeot Inuit Association written submission – Request for Adjournment, p. 2).

Evidence/Arguments:

- There is a conservation concern for the Bluenose-East caribou herd (Bluenose-East Public Hearing Transcript, p. 432 lines 6-8).
- Establishing a total allowable harvest transfers control of harvest management from Inuit, as represented by Hunters and Trappers Organizations, to government (Kitikmeot Inuit Association written submission, p. 3).

- The Department of Environment did not attempt to work with the affected Hunters and Trappers Organizations to establish management approaches under *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement* Section 5.7.3, or consider alternative responses to the herd's decline, prior to recommending a total allowable harvest to the NWMB (Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 461 lines 7-14).
- There is a precedent for community-based management plans as an alternative to a total allowable harvest, as demonstrated by "Caribou for All Time: A Délıne Plan of Action", released on January 8, 2016 by the community of Délıne to guide management of Bluenose-East caribou (Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 462 lines 4-13).[1]
- There is a strong commitment from the west Kitikmeot Hunters and Trappers Organizations to develop and implement community-led management tools for Bathurst caribou as an alternative to a government-implemented and enforced total allowable harvest (Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 468 lines 1-10).
- Caribou calving grounds shift unpredictably and mobile protection measures are more flexible and responsive than designated protected areas (Kitikmeot Inuit Association written submission – Request for Adjournment, Annex 2).
- Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit supports a mixed-sex harvest rather than a bulls-only harvest (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 446 lines 5-9).

North Slave Métis Alliance

Position: Supports harvest management implemented through an HTO-led management plan (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 362 lines 1-6).

Evidence/Arguments:

- The Bluenose-East caribou population is in a period of serious decline (North Slave Metis Alliance written submission, p. 3).
- A harvest limit of 340 caribou, as proposed in the Kugluktuk's Hunters and Trappers Organization's draft Bluenose-East management plan, complements ongoing management initiatives in the Northwest Territories, including the establishment of harvest limits (North Slave Metis Alliance written submission, p. 3).
- Community-based management is preferable to government-led and enforced management whenever possible (Bluenose-East Public Hearing Transcript, p. 363 lines 15-18).

[1]The Government of Northwest Territories is currently in the process of establishing a total allowable harvest for Bluenose-East caribou in the Northwest Territories. During the NWMB Bathurst caribou hearing, the Government of Northwest Territories – Director of Wildlife explained that the Délıne plan was not intended to be an alternative to a total allowable harvest; instead, it complements the overall total allowable harvest and assists Délıne in managing its allocation of Bluenose-East caribou (See Bathurst Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 502-504).

- A bulls-only harvest will maximize the herd's chances of recovery (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing, p. 362-363).

Wek'èezhìı Renewable Resources Board

Position: Supports management actions that will protect the herd to enable future recovery (Wek'eezhii Renewable Resources Board written submission, p. 3).

Evidence/Arguments:

- The Wek'èezhìı Renewable Resources Board recently concluded its own hearing process to consider establishing a total allowable harvest of 950, and other harvest management actions, for the Bluenose-East caribou herd within the Northwest Territories (Bluenose-East Caribou Public Hearing Transcript, p. 457 lines 10-16).

- Given the serious conservation concern with Bluenose-East caribou, all harvester groups must take any possible action to protect the herd (Wek'èezhìı Renewable Resources Board written submission, p. 3.).

Public written submission – Inuvialuit Game Council

Position: Support a total allowable harvest of 332, or 35% of the total harvest from the herd overall, and harvest reporting. (Inuvialuit Game Council/Wildlife Management Advisory Council (Northwest Territories) joint written submission, p. 1).

Evidence/Arguments:

- The Government of Northwest Territories – Environment and Natural Resources did not consult with Inuvialuit prior to establishing the interim harvest allocation for the Bluenose-East herd that subsequently became the basis for the current proposed harvest allocation, including 36% for Nunavut harvesters (Inuvialuit Game Council/Wildlife Management Advisory Council (Northwest Territories) joint written submission, p. 1).

- At the January 20, 2016 meeting of Bluenose-East caribou harvester groups to discuss harvest allocation, most of the user groups at the meeting supported a proposal from the Inuvialuit Game Council to establish a minimum allocation of 2% of the total allowably harvest for each harvester group, which would reduce Nunavut's potential allocation to 35%, or 332 caribou out of a total harvest of 950 (Inuvialuit Game Council/Wildlife Management Advisory Council (Northwest Territories) joint written submission, p. 2).

Public written submission – Tłı̨chǫ Government

Position: Supports establishing a total allowable harvest of 340 caribou (Tłı̨chǫ Government written submission, p. 1).

Evidence/Arguments:

- Tłı̨chǫ people have reduced their harvest of Bluenose-East caribou in recent years in response to the decline and support collaborative action across all user groups (Tłı̨chǫ Government written submission, p. 1).

ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ
Nunavunmi Anngutighatigut Aulapkaijitkut Katimajiat
Nunavut Wildlife Management Board

ᐱᓗᐊᑦᑕᐃᓕᓂᖅ ᐆᒪᔪᖅᑐᒃ ᐊᖅᑯᑎᒋᓗᒍ ᐊᑐᖅᑎᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᑐᖅᑲᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᒻᒪᕆᑕ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᖏᑦ
Tammaqtailinahuarniriit anngutighat atuqhugit Inuit qaujimajatuqangillu ilihimaniillu ilitquhiannin
Conserving wildlife through the application of Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit and scientific knowledge

ᐅᑦᑑᐱᕆ 7, 2016

ᓱᓕᔪᖕᓇᕐᑐᖅ ᔫ ᓴᕕᑲᑖᖅ
ᒥᓂᔅᑕᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ
ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ

ᐱᓪᓗᒍ: ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦᑕ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᖏᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑕᐃᖅᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑏᑦ

ᒥᓂᔅᑕ ᓴᕕᑲᑖᖅ,

1. ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᖏᑦ

ᐅᕙᓂ ᔫᓂ 16-17, 2016, ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ) ᐊᑐᓚᐅᖅᒪᑕ ᑕᑯᓐᓇᖅᑲᑎᑏᑦᑐᑎᒃ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᖃᓗᒃᑑᑦᑎᐊᖅ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ, ᑲᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑏᑦ ᑕᐃᖅᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ. ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᑲᒪᖅᑲᑕᑦ ᐅᐸᑦᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐃᓚᖅᑲᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᑭᒡᒐᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᑯᐊ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ-ᐱᓕᕆᒐᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ, ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᓯᐊᖅᒥ-ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒃ ᑎᒥᖓᑦ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ, ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖅᑲᑎᑏᖏᑦ, North Slave ᐊᓪᓚᖓᔪᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖅᑲᑎᑏᖏᑦ, ᐊᐅᓪᓛᑎᓯᔨᑦ ᓄᓇᓯᐊᖅᒥ ᓕᒥᑎᑦ, ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑦ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ, ᕿᙵᐅᒻᒥ, ᐅᒥᒃᒪᒃᑑᖅᒥ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᖃᓗᒃᑑᑦᑎᐊᖅᒥ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᓖᑦ ᐃᓕᓴᕆᐅᔭᒪᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᖅᑲᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑎᒍᒥᐊᕆᐊᖏᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᖏᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᑐᖅᑲᖏᓐᓂᒃ. ᑭᒡᒐᖏᑦ ᐅᑯᐊ ᕗᐃᒃᑉᐃᔅ (Wek'èezhìi) ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐅᐸᑦᓯᒪᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓂᑯᒐᒥᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ.

ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᐅᔾᔨᑐᑦᓯᐊᕐᑐᑎᒃ ᑲᒪᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓇᓗᓇᙱᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᑐᓂᔭᓂᒃ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥ[1] ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᑲᙵᓇᕐᑐᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᕐᒥ ᓱᑎᒻᐱᕆ 14, 2016, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐋᕿᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐅᑯᓂᖓ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᓂᒃ:

ᐋᕿᑦᑕᐅᕗᖅ ᐃᒫᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ (ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ), ᒪᓕᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐃᓚᖏᑦ 5.6.16 ᐊᒻᒪᓗ 5.6.17(b) ᐅᑯᐊ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᓄᓇᑦᑯᑎᑖᑦᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᖏᑦᑲᑎᑏᒍᑏᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗᑦᑕᐅᖅ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᐱᓱᒪᔭᖏᑦ ᓴᙱᓐᓂᐅᔪᑦ ᐃᒪᐃᑑᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᑲᒪᒍᑕᐅᓗᐊᕐᑐᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᖅᑐᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᒫᓂ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᓄᓇᑦᑯᑎᑖᖑᓱᒪᔪᑦ ᓇᓚᓂ:

1. *ᐋᕿᓗᑎᒃ ᒫᓇᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 340 ᑕᐃᖅᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᒫᓂ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᓄᓇᑦᑯᑎᑖᖑᓱᒪᔪᑦ ᓇᓚᓂ ᑎᑭᒐᓱᐊᕐᓂᖓᓂ ᓇᓪᓕᐊᑐᐃᓇᖅ (i) ᐊᓚᒃᑲᕐᑐᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕈᑕᐅᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᓄᑖᙱᓗᐊᕈᑎᓂᒃ ᖃᑦᓯᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ, ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ (ii) ᐃᓚᒋᓕᐅᑎᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ ᒪᑯᓂᖓ ᑕᐃᖅᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ (ᑕᐃᖅᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ) ᐊᖏᑦᑐᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᒪᓕᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᓄᓇᑦᑯᑎᑖᖑᓱᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᖏᑦᑲᑎᑏᒍᑏᑦ ᐃᓚᖏᑦ 5.2.34(d)(i) ᐊᒻᒪᓗ 5.3.7 ᐅᕗᖓ 5.3.15;*

2. *ᑎᓕᓗᒋᑦ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ - ᐃᒪᐃᓕᖓᒻᒪᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᓄᓇᑦᑯᑎᑖᖑᓱᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᖏᑦᑲᑎᑏᒍᑏᑦ ᐃᓚᖏᑦ 5.7.6(d), ᒪᓕᓯᐊᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᑭ ᓄᓇᑦᑯᑎᑖᖑᓱᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᖏᑦᑲᑎᑏᒍᑏᑦ ᐃᓚᖓ 5.1.5 ᑐᙵᕕᐅᔪᑦ*

[1] ᐃᓗᓇᑎᒃ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᑦ ᐱᔭᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᑭᒃᑯᑐᐃᓇᕐᓄᑦ ᐊᑐᐅᓇᐅᕗᑦ ᐱᔭᐅᒍᒪᒍᑎᒃ ᐅᕙᙱᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᕋᓴᐅᔭᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᓚᒃᑲᒪᕐᕕᖓᑦ (www.nwmb.com). ᓱᓕᑦᑕᐅᖅ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᖅᑐᔪᑦ ᐊᓚᒃᑲᐃᓱᒪᔪᑦ ᓈᓂᑦᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓂᕐᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑭᐅᔾᔪᑎᓂᒃ ᑐᓂᔭᓂᒃ ᒪᒃᕿᓐᓂᒃᑲᓪᓗᓐᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥ. ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᕗᑦ ᑐᓯᓯᕋᑦᑕᐅᑉᐸᑕ. ᓇᐃᓈᕈᑏᑦ ᐊᑐᓂᑦ ᑲᒪᖅᑲᑕᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑕᔪᑦ ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ ᐃᓚᒋᓕᐅᓯᒪᕗᑦ ᐅᑯᓄᖓ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᓄᑦ.

ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑯᖅ 1379
ᐃᖅᑲᓗᐃᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ X0A 0H0
☎ (867) 975-7300
(888) 421-9832

Titiqqap Turaarvia 1379
Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0
☎ (867) 975-7300
(888) 421-9832

Box 1379
Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0
☎ (867) 975-7300
(888) 421-9832

ᐱᓗᐊᕐᑕᐃᓕᓂᕐᒧᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᓴᕐᕕᒋᓚᐅᐊᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ-ᐱᓕᕆᔨᕕᖕᒐᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑦᑐᕐᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ, ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑎᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᑐᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᓖᑦ - ᒫᓐᓇᑲᐅᑎᒋᒃ ᐋᕿᓗᑎᒃ, ᑕᐃᒫᖅᐊᓐᓇᖅ ᒫᓐᓇᐅᓂᖕᒐᓂ ᐱᐅᓯᓕᒻᒥᒃ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ, ᐊᖑᑏᑦ ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᐋᕿᕐᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ;

3. ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔨᕗᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ, ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ-ᐱᓕᕆᔨᕕᖕᒐᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑦᑐᕐᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ, ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑎᖃᕐᓗᑎᒃ - ᑕᐃᓴᐃᖅᓴᐊᖃᕐᐸᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᕐᑯᔭᐅᐸᑦ - ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᑎᒥᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᓕᓐᓂᒃ, ᑲᒪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᔭᕇᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᑕᑦ ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ - ᐃᓚᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐅᔾᔨᑐᓯᐊᕐᓗᓂ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᓂᕿᑐᕐᑎᓂᒃ ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑎᑕᑦ - ᑎᑭᙱᓐᓂᖕᒐᓂ ᓄᙱᒍᐊ ᓱᑎᒻᐱᓇ 2017; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ

4. ᑐᓂᔭᐅᐸᑕ ᐱᔭᕇᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ ᐊᖏᕐᑕᐅᕐᑯᓗᒋᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ ᓄᓇᕐᑯᑎᑕᖕᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᖏᖃᑎᒌᒍᑏᑦ ᐃᓚᖏᑦ 5.2.34(d)(i) ᐊᒻᒪᓗ 5.3.3, ᕿᓚᒻᒥᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᐊᑐᕐᓗᒍ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᖅ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ - ᓈᒻᒪᒐᔭᕐᑐᖅ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂ ᕐᑯᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ - ᐋᕿᓯᕐᓯᐊᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑏᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᖕᒋᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᐃᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᐅᓇᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᑲᒪᒍᑎᐅᔪᑦ ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᒫᓂ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ ᓄᓇᕐᑯᑎᑖᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᓇᓚᓂ.

2. ᐱᑕᖃᓗᐊᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖏᕐᖃᑎᒌᒍᑏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᖏᕐᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᒍᑏᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᕐᓯᓂᕐᒧ ᑲᒪᕐᖃᑕᓄᑦ[2]

ᐋᕿᓱᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᕐᑯᓪᓕᕐᓂ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑏᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᐅᑯᓂᖕᒐ ᐱᑕᖃᓗᐊᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᖏᕐᖃᑎᒌᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᖏᕐᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᑲᒪᕐᖃᑕᓄᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᕐᒥ:

a. *ᐱᑕᖃᓗᐊᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖏᕐᖃᑎᒌᒍᑏᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᑲᒪᕐᖃᑕᓄᑦ*
   - ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐊᑐᕋᔪᒻᒪᑕ ᐱᐅᓯᕐᒥᒍᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐅᑎᑦᑕᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ, ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᓇᓪᓕᐅᓯᒪᔫᓂᒃ ᐊᒥᓱᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓇᓪᓕᐅᓯᒪᔫᓂᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᓪᓗᑎᒃ.
   - ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᓯᒪᓂᕐᒥᒃ, ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᖅ ᑐᐊᕕᕐᓇᕐᑐᒥᒃ ᐱᓗᐊᕐᑕᐃᓕᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐃᓱᒫᓗᒍᓴᒥᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᐅᓚᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕆᐊᓖᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᕐᔫᒥᒐᓱᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ.
   - ᑕᐃᒪ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖅᒥ ᐋᕿᑕᐅᐸᑕ, ᐊᑕᖕᒋᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᑐᓂᔭᐅᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᐃᓄᓐᓄᑦ.
   - ᐃᓄᐃᓴᒃᑐᑦ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖅᒥᒃ ᑭᓪᓕᖅᑭᑕᐅᓚᖕᒐᔪᑦ ᐱᕕᕐᓴᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᕐᑎᕐᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐅᕕᒃᑲᕐᓂᒃ ᐱᓪᓗᒍᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓃᑦ, ᐊᖑᓯᒍᓯᐅᔪᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᐅᓯᑐᖅᑲᒃᑯᑦ ᐃᓅᓯᒥᓃᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᐊᑦᑐᐃᓚᖕᒐᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ.
   - ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂ-ᑐᙵᕕᖅᑲᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᕐᑕᑦ, ᒪᑯᐊ ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ, ᐱᐅᔪᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᕐᔫᒥᒐᓱᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ.
   - ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑲᕐᑕᐅᐸᑕ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᓇᓕᖕᒋᓐᓂ ᒪᑯᐊ ᐊᒥᓱᑦ ᑐᒃᑑᕐᑲᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦᑐᑦ ᑲᑐᑎᑦᑕᕐᕕᖕᒋᓐᓂ ᐱᔭᕆᐊᑐᓚᖕᒐᔪᖅ.
   - ᓴᐳᒻᒥᓂᖅ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕆᐊᓕᒃ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑎᒌᓐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ, ᓄᓇᓖᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᓯᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓯᔭᐅᔪᑦ.
   - ᓂᕿᑐᕐᑏᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᑦ ᒪᑯᐊ ᐊᒪᕈᐃᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᒃᓚᐃᑦ ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᔪᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑐᕐᑯᕐᕐᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ.

b. *ᐱᑕᖃᓗᐊᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖏᕐᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᒍᑏ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᑲᒪᕐᖃᑕᓄᑦ*
   - ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕆᐊᓖᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᖕᒋᑦ, ᐃᓚᖕᒐᒍᑦ ᐊᒃᕕᐊᕈᑏᑦ ᓄᓇᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᓄᓇᑖᖃᑕᐅᒪᖕᒋᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ.

---

[2] ᐊᑏ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᐊᕐᐳᓯ ᐃᒫᒃ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐱᑕᖃᓗᐊᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖏᕐᖃᑎᒌᒍᑏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᖏᕐᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᒍᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᕐᓯᕐᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᒪᑕ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᒃᑯᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᕐᒥ. ᑭᓯᐊᓂ, ᑐᓂᔭᐅᓚᐅᖕᒋᑦᑐᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᕐᖃᑕᓄᑦ, ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᑭᖑᓂᐊᒍᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᐅᑦ, ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᕐᑯᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑭᐅᔭᐅᕐᑯᓗᒋᑦ. ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓐᓂᖕᒐᓄᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᑐᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᒃᑯᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᓂᒃ ᐃᑲᔪᕈᑎᓂᒃ ᑖᒃᑯᓄᖕᒐ ᐱᑕᖃᓗᐊᕐᑐᓄᑦ.

- ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᖅᑲᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑏᑦ ᐊᑑᑎᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᓯᐊᒍᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ-ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᒃᑲᕐᑕᖓᑕ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᖅᑲᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᐊᔪᓂᒃ.
- ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᒃᑲᐃᒍᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᑉᐱᒋᓗᒍ ᒪᓕᑦᓯᐊᕋᓗᐊᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᑲᒪᒍᓯᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᑕᔪᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᖅᑲᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ (ᐆᑦᑐᕈᑎᒋᓗᒍ, ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᑦ ᑭᓪᓕᖅᑲᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᖑᑏᑦ ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᐊᒃᕕᐊᕈᑏᑦ).
- ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐲᕐᓯᒍᑕᐅᒐᔭᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᓴᙱᓕᐅᕗᓕᒃ ᐅᑯᓗᖓ ᐊᖑᓕᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓕᓗᒋᑦ ᐃᓘᓐᓇᑎᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᓐᓄᑦ.
- ᓂᕿᑐᕐᑎᓂᒃ ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑏᑦ ᐊᑑᑎᖃᕋᔭᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᔪᕐᓇᕋᑎᒃ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᕐᔪᒥᒐᓱᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᒪᔾᔪᑎᓂ.
- ᐊᖑᑏᓐᓇᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᖅ ᐃᑲᒧᕐᓂᐊᕐᑐᖅ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᕐᔪᒥᒐᓱᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ.
- ᓴᖑᓗᓂ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᖅ ᐅᑯᓇᙱᑦ ᕿᙱᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑯᓄᖓ ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᕐᓯᐊᖅᒥ ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᑐᐃᓐᓇᓇᐊᓕᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ.

3. ᑐᑭᒋᔭᐅᑎᑕᖅ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ

a. ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᒫᓐᓇᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᖕᖓ

ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᒻᒥᒃ-ᐋᕿᑦᓯᐃᓂᕐᒥ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᐅᔾᔨᑐᑦᓯᐊᕐᑐᑎᒃ ᑲᒪᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᓂᕐᐸᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᕐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕐᑎᒻᒪᕆᓐᓂᒃ ᑐᓴᕋᕐᓴᓂᒃ ᐅᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᑐᖃᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ. ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᒪᑕ ᑲᔪᓯᓂᓕᒻᒥᒃ, ᐊᖕᒋᔪᒥᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᐊᓪᓗᑎᒃ, ᑕᐃᒫᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᕐᑕᐅᒻᒪᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᔭᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕐᓯᐊᖅᒥ - ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐅᐱᕐᖓᑦᓴᖕᖓᓂ 2015 ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᓈᓴᐃᓂᕐᒥ. ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᕐᒥ, ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᒃ ᑲᒪᕐᑲᑕᑦ ᐊᖕᒋᖃᑎᑏᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᒪᑕ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᕐᒥᒃ, ᐃᑖᒃ ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᒃ ᑲᒪᕐᑲᑕᑦ ᐊᖕᒋᖃᑎᑏᓚᐅᖕᒋᑦᑑᒐᓗᐊᑦ ᐊᖕᒋᔫᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᕐᓂᖓᑕ. ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᑦᑐᖅ ᐊᓚᒃᑲᑎᓪᓗᖑ, ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᓇᐊᖃᕐᒪᑕ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᑉᐱᒋᓗᒍ ᓈᒻᒪᓂᕐᐸᒥᒃ ᐱᕕᖃᕐᓴᖃᕐᒪᑕ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᑉᐱᒋᓗᒍ ᓈᒻᒪᓂᕐᐸᒥᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕈᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒥᓲᓂᕐᓴᓂᒃ ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑏᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ, ᐃᓱᒪᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᒃᑲᕐᑕᒥᒃ ᓇᒥᓕᒫᖅ ᐊᒃᕐᔫᒍᒥ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᓪᓕᖅᑲᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 340 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ, ᐊᔾᔨᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᖕᒋᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ. ᑕᐃᒪ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓚᐅᕐᐳᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ 340 ᓈᒻᒪᒋᐊᖕᒋᑕ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᑕᒪᒃᑰᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᒃ ᐅᑎᕐᔪᒥᒐᓱᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓄᑦ ᐱᔭᐅᒍᒪᔪᑦ.

ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓚᐅᕐᒥᔪᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᒫᓐᓇᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᔪᕈᑕᐅᔾᔭᖕᒋᒻᒪᑦ ᐊᓱᒃᑲᓐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᒪᓗᓂ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᑐᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᒪᑯᐊ ᐊᑦᑐᕐᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ ᐱᔭᕇᕐᓯᒪᓕᕐᐸᑕ ᐋᕿᓱᕐᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᓖᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ. ᐊᒥᓱᑦ ᑲᒪᕐᑲᑕᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᕐᑲᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓗᖑᑎᓂᒃ ᐋᕿᑕᐅᐸᐸᑕ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᓈᒻᒪᔾᔭᖕᒋᒻᒪᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᖅᑲᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᒍᓯᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ, ᑕᐃᒫᒃ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᒻᒪᑦ ᐊᑖᒍᑦ *ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ ᓄᓇᕐᑯᑎᑖᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᖕᒋᖃᑎᒋᒍᑏᑦ* ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᓂᖓ 5.7.3,[3] ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓕᓯᒍᑕᐅᒐᔭᕐᒪᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᑦ ᐅᑯᓗᖓ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ. ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ, ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᑐᐊᕕᕐᓇᕐᓗᐊᕐᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐱᓗᐊᑦᑕᐃᓕᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐃᓱᒫᓗᒍᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕈᑕᐅᒋᐊᓕᒃ ᒫᓐᓇᑲᐅᑎᒋᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑭᐅᔾᔪᑎᓂᒃ (ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ),

---

[3] *ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ ᓄᓇᕐᑯᑎᑖᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᖕᒋᖃᑎᒋᒍᑏᑦ* ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᓂᖓ 5.7.3 ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᔪᖅ ᓴᙱᓕᖕᒋᓕᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ ᐃᒪᐃᓕᖓᔪᖅ: "ᓴᙱᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑕᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑐᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐃᓚᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᐳᑦ ᐅᑯᓗᖓ: (a) ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᖅ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓪᓗᓂ ᐱᐅᓯᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᒪᔾᔪᓯᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᑕᒡᕙᓂ ᐃᓚᐅᒍᑎᓕᓐᓄᑦ, ᐃᓚᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᑐᕐᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐ; (b) ᑐᓂᐅᖃᐃᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᒃᑲᐃᓂᖅ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂ ᐊᓐᓇᐅᒪᔾᔪᑕᐅᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐋᕿᒋᐊᕐᑕᐅᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᓐᓇᐅᒪᔾᔪᑕᐅᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ; (c) ᑐᓂᓯᓂᖅ ᐃᓚᐅᔾᔪᑎᖃᖕᒋᑦᑐᓄᑦ, ᐃᓚᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᖕᒋᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐋᑐᑎᖃᕐᓯᐊᕐᑐᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓂᖕᒋᑦ, ᓇᓪᓕᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐃᓚᖕᒋᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂ ᐊᓐᓇᐅᒪᔾᔪᑕᐅᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐋᕿᒋᐊᕐᑕᐅᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᓐᓇᐅᒪᔾᔪᑕᐅᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᑦ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ (d) ᖃᓄᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ, ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᖅ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓚᐅᔾᔪᑎᓖᑦ."

ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᓖᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ ᐋᕿᓱᕐᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᖏᔭᑦ ᐱᖓᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᓚᒃᑲᕈᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᒪᑦ ᐊᔾᔨᒌᖏᑕᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᓯᐊᒍᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑭᐅᔾᔪᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑕᖏᕐᓗᒍ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓄᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᒋᐊᓕᒃ ᐱᔭᕇᕐᑕᐅᐸᐸᑦ. ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓐᓂᖓᓄᑦ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᒫᓐᓇᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖏᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᓈᒻᒪᓂᕐᐹᖑᓂᐊᓇᐊᖏᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᓗᒍ ᐱᓗᐊᕐᑕᐃᓕᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐃᓱᒫᓗᒍᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᓖᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑎᐅᔪᑦ.

b. ᐊᖑᑏᑦ ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑦ

ᐃᓚᐅᔾᔪᑎᒃ ᐅᑯᓄᖓ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖏᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 340 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ, ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ ᑐᕐᓯᕋᐅᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔨᔪᑦ ᐊᖑᑏᓐᓇᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑐᕐᑲᕐᐸᓪᓗᓂ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᑦ. ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᕐᓯᓂᕐᒥ, ᐱᕐᑲᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᓖᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᓚᐅᖏᑦᑐᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᒥᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᒥᒃ. ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑕ ᑎᑎᕐᕋᑦᑕᖏᑦ "ᐃᓚᒋᓕᐅᑎᓱᒪᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ" ᐃᓚᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᔾᔨᒌᖏᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᓂᒃ, ᐃᓚᐅᔾᔪᑎᒃ ᐊᒃᑦᔫᒃ ᐃᓗᐊᓂ ᐊᒃᕕᐊᕈᑏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᕐᑕᑦ ᓇᓕᖏᓐᓂ ᖃᓂᒋᔭᖓᓂ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅ, ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᐃᓚᖃᖏᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᐊᖑᑏᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᒃᕕᐊᕈᑎᓂᒃ. ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓚᐅᖑᕐᑐᑦ ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᒻᒥᒃ-ᐋᕿᑦᓱᐃᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᕐᒥ ᐃᒫᒃ, ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅ ᖃᓂᑦᓴᕐᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᑕᐃᐸᕐᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᓄᒃᓴᕐᑕᐅᕐᕕᖓᓂᒃ, ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑏᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒻᒪᔪᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᕐᓇᓂᒃ ᐅᐱᕐᖓᑦᓴᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐸᓐᓂᕐᓂᒃ ᐅᑭᐊᑦᓴᒃᑯᑦ; ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓐᓂᖓᓄᑦ, ᐊᒃᕕᐊᕈᑏᑦ ᐃᓗᐊᓂ ᐊᒃᕕᐊᕈᑎᑦ ᒪᑯᐊ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᖏᑦ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑕ ᐊᔾᔨᒐᓚᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᑦᑐᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᕐᓇᕐᓂ ᐊᖑᑎᓂᒃ ᓂᕈᐊᕐᐸᓪᓗᓂ ᐊᒃᕕᐊᕈᑎᓄᑦ. ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᑐᓴᕋᕐᓴᕐ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᑭᓂᐊᕈᑏᑦ ᐊᖑᑏᓐᓇᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓪᓗᓂ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᑕᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᒥᓱᓄᑦ ᓈᓚᑎᑐᕐᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᑲᒪᕐᑲᑕᓄᑦ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᖃᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᑦᑐᕐᑕᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᖃᑕᓂᒃ, ᐃᓚᐅᔾᔪᑎᒃ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ-ᐱᓕᕆᔨᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ, ᖃᐅᔨᒐᓱᐊᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᓈᒻᒪᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᐊᖑᑏᑦ ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᐋᕿᑦᓱᒪᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᒫᓐᓇᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖏᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᓇᒻᒪᒋᔭᐅᓂᐊᕐᑐᑦ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᒥᑭᔾᔪᒥᑎᒐᓱᐊᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᓇᒥᓕᒫᖅ ᐊᑦᑐᐃᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ.

c. ᑕᐃᐸᕐᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑎᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑏᑦ

ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᔪᒥᓴᐃᕗᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᖃᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᕐᑕᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓕᓴᕐᓯᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᒫᒃ ᐊᓚᒃᑲᕐᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐅᑯᐊ "ᐃᓚᒋᓕᐅᔾᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑎᑦ" (ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑎᑦ ᑕᐃᐸᕐᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ) ᐱᑕᖃᕈᑕᐅᒻᒪᑦ ᐱᕕᕐᓴᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᐊᖏᓪᓕᒋᐊᕐᓗᒍ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑎᒌᓐᓂᒃᑯᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᖅ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ. ᐱᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓄᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᕈᑏᑦ ᑎᑎᕐᕋᑦᑕᓂ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑎᓂ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᕐᓯᔪᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᐱᔭᕇᕐᑐᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᒪᑕ ᓈᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᓄᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᓗᓂ ᐱᐅᓯᐅᔪᖅ ᑕᐃᐸᕐᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᖅ. ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᓂᕆᐅᐸᐳᑦ ᐱᓛᕐᓂᕐᒥᓂ ᐱᔭᕇᕐᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ "ᐃᓚᒋᓕᐅᔾᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑎᑦ" ᑎᑭᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᓄᙵᐊᐊ ᓲᑎᒻᐱᕆ 2017.

d. ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᖏᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᖃᑕᓄᑦ

ᑕᐃᒪᓕ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᐃᓕᓴᕐᓯᔫᒐᓗᐊᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᓴᙵᖏᓂᖃᖏᓐᓇᒥᒃ ᑎᓕᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᖃᑕᓄᑦ, ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᐱᖁᔨᕗᖕᒐᕈᑎᖓᑦ ᐃᓚᖃᕐᑐᖅ ᐊᒥᓱᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᓂᒃ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓄᑦ, ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ-ᐱᓕᕆᔨᖓᓄᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑦᑐᕐᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑦ. ᐱᔭᕇᕐᑕᐅᐸᐸᑦ ᐅᕗᓇ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ ᓄᓇᖁᑎᑖᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᖏᖃᑎᒌᒍᑏᑦ ᑎᑎᕐᕋᓯᒪᓂᖓ 5 ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᒻᒥᒃ-ᐋᕿᑦᓱᐃᓂᐅᑉ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓂᖓ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᑕᒻᕙᑲᐅᑎᒋᒃ ᐊᓚᒃᑲᐃᓛᕐᑐᑦ ᑭᖑᕐᖓᒍᑦ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᓂᒃ ᐅᑯᓄᖓ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᓯᖏᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᖃᑕᑦ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᑦ, ᐱᓗᐊᕐᑐᒥᒃ ᐱᔭᕇᑎᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐋᕿᑦᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᑏᑦ-ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓂᖏᑕ ᐅᕙᓂ ᒫᓐᓇᐅᓂᖓᓂ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖏᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᓗᐊᓂ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔭᖅ ᐱᕕᕐᓴᐅᔪᖅ ᒪᒃᑮᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᖅᑮᓐᓂᒃ.

4. ᐱᔭᕇᓗᑦ

ᒪᓕᑦᑐᒍ ᐃᓚᖓ 5.3.8 ᐅᑯᐊ ᓄᓇᕗᒥ ᓄᓇᖁᑎᑖᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᖏᖃᑎᒌᒍᑏᑦ, ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᒫᓐᓇ ᓇᑦᓯᐅᔾᔨᓕᕐᐳᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᒥᓂᒃ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑏᑦ ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᓕᓐᓄᑦ ᕿᒥᒃᕈᓂᐊᕋᕕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᒪᒋᓗᒋᑦ. ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᐃᓕᓴᕐᓯᔪᑦ ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑏᑦ ᐱᖃᖃᑕᐅᔪᓄᑦ ᓇᒥᓕᒫᖅ ᐊᑕᖏᕐᑐᒍ ᐅᐸᒐᖏᓐᓂ ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓂᕿᐅᐸᐳᑦ ᐃᒃᕕᑦ ᕿᓚᒻᒥᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᑭᐅᓂᐊᕐᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᕿᓚᒻᒥᐅᔪᒥ ᐱᔭᕇᕐᓗᒍ ᐅᕝᓇ ᓄᓇᕗᒥ ᓄᓇᖁᑎᑖᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᖏᖃᑎᒌᒍᑎᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᓂᖓ 5 ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᒻᒥᒃ-ᐋᕿᑦᓯᐃᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᖅ

ᐃᒃᕕᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᔨᑎᑦ ᖃᓄᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᑦᓴᖃᕈᑦᓯ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐃᓱᒫᓗᒍᑎᖃᕈᑦᓯ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐃᓗᓕᖏᑦ ᐅᑯᐊ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑦ, ᐊᑏ ᖃᐅᔨᒃᑲᓂᐊᕐᐸᓯᐅᒃ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ.

ᓱᓕᔪᒥᒃ,

ᑖᓂᐊᑦ ᓯᐅᑦᓴᒃ
ᐃᑦᓯᕙᐅᑕᐅᑲᐃᓐᓇᕐᑐᖅ ᐅᕙᓂ
ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ

ᐃᓚᒋᓕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ: ᓇᐃᓈᕈᑎᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᖃᑕᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ.

ᐱᖃᑕᑦ: ᕿᓐ ᐃᐊᓕᐊᑦ, ᐊᖓᔪᖃᐅᑏᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑎᖓ ᐆᒧᖓ ᓱᓕᔪᕐᓇᕐᑐᖅ ᔫ ᓴᕕᑲᑖᖅ, ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ
ᑐᕆᑲᔅ ᒋᓯᖕ, ᑐᑭᒧᐊᕐᑎᑦᓯᔨ ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ, ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ-ᐱᓕᕆᒐᕕᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ

ᐅᐃᒍᖓ 1 - ᓇᐃᓈᕈᑏᑦ ᑕᐊᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᑲᒪᕐᑲᑕᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑎᖏᓐᓄᑦ

ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ - ᐱᓕᕆᒐᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖓ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᐋᕿᑦᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 340 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ, ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᑏᓐᓇᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ. (ᐱᓕᕆᒐᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖏᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 3)

ᓇᓗᓇᙱᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᓄᑖᖑᓂᕐᐸᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᒍᑏᑦ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 38,592 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ, ᑐᙵᕕᖃᕐᑐᑦ 2015ᒥ ᖃᖓᑕᔫᒃᑯᑦ ᓈᓴᐃᓂᕐᒥᒃ, ᓇᓗᓇᐃᕐᓯᔪᑦ ᐊᖏᔪᒥᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᑦᓕᕙᑦᓕᕆᐊᖏᑕ ᑕᐃᒪᙵᓂᒃ ᓱᕗᑦᓕᕐᒥ ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᒃᑕᐅᓂᑯᓂᒃ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 68,000 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ 2013ᒥ, ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᒃᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᒻᕋᒍᑕᒫᑦ ᓱᑲᓐᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᑦᓕᕙᑦᓕᐊᓂᖏᑕ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 21% (ᐱᓕᕆᒐᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖏᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 2).

- ᐊᓱᒃᑲᓐᓂᐊᒍᑦ ᑕᑯᔭᐅᓂᑯᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᕐᓯᓯᒪᒻᒥᔪᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᐃᓐᓇᐃᑦ ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᐃᓅᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑕ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓂᖏᑦ ᖁᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᒡᕋᐃᑦ-ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓐᓂᕐᓴᐅᒻᒪᑕ ᐱᑕᖃᕆᐊᓕᓐᓂᒃ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᕐᔫᒥᓂᐊᕐᐸᑕ, ᓇᓗᓇᐃᕐᓯᔪᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᑦᓕᕙᑦᓕᐊᓂᖏᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᒋᐊᖏᑕ (ᐱᓕᕆᒐᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖏᑦ ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 2).

- ᐱᓕᕆᒐᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑭᒡᒐᖏᑦ ᐊᒥᖅᑳᕈᑎᖃᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑐᓴᕋᕐᓴᓂᒃ ᐱᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᙵᑦ 2009 ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔪᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᓛᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕆᐊᖏᑕ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᑕᐃᒪᙵᓂᒃ (ᑕᐊᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐ 11 ᑐᑭᖏᑦ 1-8).

- ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑎᑏᑦᓗᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᐃᑦᑑᑦᓗᒋᑦ "ᐊᐅᐸᔾᔭᑦᑐᖅ" ᓇᓛᓂ, ᑐᑭᖃᕐᑐᖅ ᐊᑐᓕᕆᐊᖏᑕ ᕿᑎᖓᓃᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᑦᓕᕙᑦᓕᐊᓪᓗᑎᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔨᔪᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᒪᐃᓕᖓᓗᒋᑦ 2.5% ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᑕᒫᓂ ᓇᓛᓂ, ᐅᕙᕙᔫᓐᓃᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᑦ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 950 ᐃᔫᓐᓇᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑎᓄᑦ, ᑕᒪᒃᑭᓐᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᖅᒥ. ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᐃᓚᖓᓂ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᕙᑦᑐᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕈᑕᐅᒋᐊᖏᑦ 36% ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᒃᓱᑕᑦ ᑖᒃᑯᓇᖓᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ, ᐃᒪᐃᓪᓗᐊᕋᔭᕐᑐᑦ 340 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᓇᒥᓕᒫᖅ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᕙᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 950 ᐃᔫᓐᓇᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑎᓄᑦ (ᑕᐊᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 15 ᑐᑭᖏᑦ 10-21).

- ᐱᒐᓱᐊᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᑏᓐᓇᐃᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕈᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᕐᓇᖏᑦ ᐊᒥᓲᓂᕐᓴᓂᒃ ᓄᒡᕋᑖᕐᑲᑦᑕᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᕐᔫᒥᒐᓱᐊᕐᓂᖏᑦ (ᑕᐊᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 15 ᑐᑭᖏᑦ 10-21).

ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᖅᒥ - ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖓᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 340 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ, ᐱᑕᖃᕐᓗᓂ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᖑᑏᓐᓇᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒋᐊᖃᕐᓂᕐᒥᒃ (ᑕᐊᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 154 ᑐᑭᖏᑦ 1-8).

ᓇᓗᓇᙱᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᑦᓕᕙᑦᓕᕐᓯᒪᒻᒪᑕ ᐅᕙᖓᑦ 100,000 ᐃᓐᓇᐃᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ 2010ᒥ ᐅᕗᖓ ᐅᑦᓗᒥ ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᒃᑕᑦ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 68,000, ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᓂ ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᒻᒥᒃ ᐊᒻᕋᒍᑕᒫᑦ ᓱᑲᓐᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᑦᓕᕙᑦᓕᐊᒍᑎᖏᑕ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 29%, ᓇᓗᓴᐃᕐᓯᔪᑦ ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᐱᓗᐊᑦᑕᐃᓕᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓗᒍᒻᒥᒃ (ᑕᐊᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 131 ᑐᑭᖏᑦ 14-22).

- ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓕᒃᑲᓐᓂᑐᐃᓐᓇᕆᐊᓖᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᒋᓗᒍ ᐱᐅᙱᓗᐊᕐᓂᙱᑦ ᐃᓅᒍᑎᙱᑦ (ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᐃᓅᒍᑎᙱᑦ, ᓄᓴᕋᐃᑦ ᐃᓅᒍᑎᙱᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓪᓕᐅᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᓅᒍᑎᙱᑦ ᐃᓘᓐᓇᑎᒃ ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᒃᑕᐅᒻᒪᑕ ᐊᑉᐸᓯᒋᐊᙱᑕ ᐊᑕᔪᑦ ᓱᓴᕋᕐᙱᑦᑐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂ) (ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 134 ᑐᑭᙱᑦ 1-9).

- ᓈᓴᐃᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖁᖓᓯᕈᓕᕐᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᓈᓴᐅᑏᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᕐᓯᔪᑦ ᐃᒫᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᙱᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑕᐅᙱᒻᒪᑕ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᓴᖑᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᓯᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓄᑦ ᐅᕙᓘᓐᓂᑦ ᓄᓴᕋᓕᐅᕐᕕᓐᓄᑦ (ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 134 ᑐᑭᙱᑦ 10-17)

- ᑲᑎᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᔾᔨᙱᑦ ᐅᑯᐊ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕋᓱᐊᖅᒥ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔾᙱᑦ ᓇᒥᓕᒫᖅ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 950 ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᑦ ᑐᓂᔭᐅᓂᙱᑦ ᒪᑯᓄᖓ ᐃᓘᓐᓇᑎᒃ ᐊᑐᕐᑎᐅᔪᑦ, ᑐᙵᕕᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑏᑦᓗᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ "ᐱᓱᒪᕐᓯᓂᖅ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ" ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᒻᒥ ᐊᑐᓕᖁᔭᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᐸᔭᕐᑐᒦᕐᑐᓂᒃ (ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 140-141).

- ᓄᓇᖅᑲᖅᑳᕐᓂᑯᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑏᑦ ᐅᐸᑦᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᐅᑯᐊ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕋᓱᐊᖅᒥ ᐊᓂᒍᕐᑐᒥ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓄᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐊᙱᕐᑲᑎᑦᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᓂᒃ ᑐᓂᐅᖃᕐᑕᐅᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ, ᐃᓚᖃᕐᑐᑎᒃ 340 ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᑐᓂᔭᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑎᓄᑦ (ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 154 ᑐᑭᙱᑦ 9-13).

- ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᐸᐸᑕ ᐊᕐᓇᐃᑦ ᓱᒃᑲᓕᕆᐊᕈᑕᐅᓚᖓᔪᖅ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ, ᑕᐃᒫᒃ ᑐᑭᓯᔭᐅᓚᐅᕐᒪᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᒋᐊᖓ ᐅᑯᓇᓂ ᕿᙵᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ (ᑕᕼᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖓᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 151 ᑐᑭᙱᑦ 2-8).

ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᙱᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖓᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐅᑑᖓ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑐᓕᕆᔨᑦ-ᑲᒪᒋᔭᙱᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ, ᐊᔾᔨᐅᒐᑎᒃ ᐅᑯᓄᖓ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ (ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᙱᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᙱᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 1).

ᓇᓗᓇᙱᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᐱᓕᕆᒐᕕᖓᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐱᓱᒪᙱᒻᒪᑕ ᐊᑦᑐᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᙱᑕ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᒃᓱᕈᐊᙱᓐᓂᒃ, ᐃᓚᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᔭᐅᓂᙱᑦ ᓄᓇᓖ-ᓇᒻᒥᓂᖅ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑎᙱᑦ, ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᐅᓗᑎᒃ (ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᙱᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᙱᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 1).

ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖅᑲᑎᒋᙱᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖓᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐅᑑᖓ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑐᓕᕆᔨᑦ-ᑲᒪᒋᔭᙱᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ, ᐊᔾᔨᐅᒐᑎᒃ ᐅᑯᓄᖓ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ (ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᙱᑕ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᙱᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 1).

ᓇᓗᓇᙱᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ-ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑲᕐᑕᙱᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᑐᕐᑕᐅᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᑭᖑᓪᓕᕐᐸᖑᓗᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᕐᔪᒥᒐᓱᐊᕐᓂᙱᓐᓄᑦ (ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᙱᑕ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᙱᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 1).

- ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᙱᑦ ᓴᙱᓂᕐᑲᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᕐᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᓇᒻᒥᓂᖅ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᓕᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᑖᒍᑦ *ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ ᓄᓇᖁᑎᑖᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᙱᕐᑲᑎᑏᒍᑏᑦ* ᐃᓚᖓ 5.7.3, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᕋᓱᐊᕐᑐᑦ ᑕᒪᕐᓱᒥᖓ ᓴᙱᓂᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᓖᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᕐᑕᑦ,

ᐃᓚᐅᑦᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐆᒪᔪᑦ ᓂᕿᑐᕐᑏᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ (ᕿᙵᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑦᐱᕐᑐᒐᑦ 308-309).

- ᑕᐃᒪᙵᑦ 2009ᒥᒃ, ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑦ ᓄᖅᑲᔾᔨᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑕᑯᕙᑦᓴᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑲᐃᓂᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑮᓇᐅᔾᔭᑦᓴᕈᑎᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓄᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᓂᒃ (ᕿᙵᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑦᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 542 ᑐᑭᖏᑦ 21-24).
- ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑲᕐᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᐋᕿᓱᕐᑕᐅᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᒍᓲᑦ ᓄᓇᖅᑲᑎᑦᓄᑦ ᐊᑑᑎᖅᑲᕐᓂᕐᓴᐅᓂᐊᕐᑐᑦ ᐅᑯᓇᙵᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ-ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑲᕐᑕᖏᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑏᑦ (ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖅᑲᑎᑦᖏᑦᑕ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖏᑦ, ᒪᑦᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 2).
- ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᒃᑕᑦ ᐱᔭᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖏᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᕙᙵᑦ ᒪᐃ 2015-ᒪᐃ 2016 ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑎᓄᑦ ᐃᒪᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᑐᑦ 190 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓴᖏᑦᑐᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᒃᑲᐃᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑭᓪᓕᖅᑲᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 340 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᓇᒻᒥᓂᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᒍᓱᐅᔪᑦ (ᖁᖅᓗᖅᓗᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖅᑲᑎᑦᖏᑦᑕ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖏᑦ, ᒪᑦᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 3).
- ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᓂᖅᑲᕐᓯᐊᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᓂᒍᕐᑐᒥ ᐃᑦᐱᒍᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓄᓇᓖᒃ ᒪᓕᕐᓯᐊᕋᓗᐊᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᖏᓐᓂᒃ (ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖅᑲᑎᑦᖏᑦᑕ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖏᑦ, ᒪᑦᐱᕐᑐᒐᑦ 5-6).
- ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ-ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑲᕐᑕᖏᑦ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙵᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐱᐅᙵᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᐊᑦᑐᐃᑐᐃᓐᓇᕆᐊᓖᑦ ᐃᓄᓐᓄᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᓚᒃᑲᐅᒪᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᑎᒍᑦ ᐃᖅᑲᑐᕐᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐱᔭᖅᑯᑕᐅᖏᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᓯᐊᒍᕐᕕᖅᑲᖏᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᖅᑲᕐᐸᑕ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖏᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦ, ᒪᑦᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 336 ᑐᑭᖏᑦ 1-21).

ᕿᙵᐅᑦ (ᐳᕐᓇᓴᐃᑦ) ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖓᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᖏᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑏᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑕᐅᓂᖏᑦᑕ ᐅᕝᕙᓇ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑏᑦ-ᑲᒪᒋᔭᖏᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ, ᐊᑐᖏᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙵᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ, ᑕᐃᒫᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᐅᒻᒪᑦ ᐅᑯᓄᖓ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖅᑲᑎᑦᖏᑦ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖏᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑦᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 354 ᑐᑭᖏᑦ 16-24).

ᐅᒥᒃᒪᒃᑑᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖏᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐅᕝᕙᖓ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑐᓕᕆᔨᑦ-ᑲᒪᒋᔭᖏᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ, ᐊᑐᖏᕐᓗᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᐅᑯᐊ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙵᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ, ᑕᐃᒫᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᐅᒻᒪᑦ ᐅᑯᓄᖓ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖅᑲᑎᑦᖏᑦ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖏᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑦᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 356 ᑐᑭᖏᑦ 16-23).

ᐃᖅᑲᒃᑑᑦᑎᐊᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖏᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᒍᑎᖏᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᖏᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑏᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕝᕙᓇ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑐᓕᕆᔨᑦ-ᑲᒪᒋᔭᖏᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ, ᐊᑐᖏᕐᓗᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᐅᑯᐊ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙵᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖏᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑦᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 336-337).

ᓇᓗᓇᙵᒋᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ

- ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑲᕐᑕᖏᑦ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙵᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐱᐅᙵᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᐊᑦᑐᐃᑐᐃᓐᓇᕆᐊᖃᕐᒪᑦ ᐃᓄᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᓚᒃᑲᐅᒪᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᑎᒍᑦ ᐃᖅᑲᑐᕐᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐱᔭᖅᑯᑕᐅᖏᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ

ᐊᓯᐊᒍᕐᕕᕐᑲᖕᒋᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᕐᑲᕐᐸᑕ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 336 ᑐᑭᖕᒋᑦ 1-21).

ᖃᐅᔨᒪᓂᓃᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᒍᑎᖕᒋᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᖕᒋᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᑏᓐᓇᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒥᒃ (ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᒻ 427, ᑐᑭᖕᒋᑦ 3-16); ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᑦ ᓂᕐᑭᑐᕐᑏᑦ (ᐊᒪᕈᐃᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᒃᑕᐃᕐ) ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 425-426).

ᓇᓗᓇᖕᒋᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᐸᓐᓃᑦ ᕿᑐᕐᖕᒋᐅᕐᑎᑦᓯᓗᐊᕐᒪᑕ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑦᑐᕐᑕᐅᑲᐅᑎᒋᔭᕐᑐᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᑦᓄᑦ ᓂᕿᑐᕐᑎᓄᑦ ᓄᓕᐊᕐᓇᕐᒥ (ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᑦ 426-427).
- ᐆᒪᔪᑦ ᓂᕿᑐᕐᑏᑦ ᐊᖕᒋᔪᒥᒃ ᐊᑦᑐᐃᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ. ᐃᓐᓇᑐᖃᐃᑦ ᑕᑯᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᒪᕈᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᕐᑯᕋᐃᔪᓂᒃ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒐᓱᐊᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᒻᒪᖔᑕ ᐆᒪᔪᑦ ᓂᕆᓇᒋᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᒥᓃᑦ (ᑕᒪᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 395-396).
- ᐊᓂᒍᕐᑐᒥ, ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑏᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᓂᖃᑦᓯᐊᕐᑐᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᑯᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᓂᕿᑐᕐᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑐᒋᑦ (ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᑦ 431 ᑐᕈᖕᒋᑦ 4-6).

ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᖕᒐᕕᒃ ᑎᒥᖕᒐᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᒍᑎᖕᒋᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ (1) ᑐᓂᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑕᖕᒋᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᖃᑦᓯᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᖃᓄᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᓄᓐᓄᑦ (ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᖕᒐᕕᒃ ᑎᒥᖕᒐᑕ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᖕᒋᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᓄᑦ, ᐃᑦᕆᓕ 8, 2016); ᐊᒻᒪᓗ (2) ᑲᒪᖃᑕᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᑦᑐᕐᑕᑦ ᓄᓇᓖᑦ ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓗᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᑦ (ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 441 ᑐᕈᖕᒋᑦ 3-5).

ᓇᓗᓇᖕᒋᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᖕᒐᕕᒃ ᑐᒥᖕᒐᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕈᑎᖕᒋᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᕈᑎᖕᒐᓂᒃ ᓄᖃᐅᑎᑲᐃᓐᓇᕐᑐᒍ ᐋᕿᓂᖅ ᐊᓐᓇᐅᒪᔾᔪᑕᐅᒋᐊᓖᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐋᕿᑦᓯᐃᓗᑎᒃ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᓪᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᑐᖕᒐᕕᖃᕐᒪᑦ ᓂᕆᐅᒋᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᒫᒃ ᐃᓗᓐᓇᑎᒃ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐱᔭᐅᒋᐊᖃᕋᔭᕐᒪᑕ ᐃᓄᓐᓄᑦ ᒫᓐᓇᐅᓂᖕᒐᓂ (ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᑐᖕᒐᕕᒃ ᑎᒥᖕᒐᑦ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᖕᒋᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᓄᑦ, ᐃᑦᕆᓕ 8, 2016).
- ᓄᓇᓖᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᔾᔭᕙᓪᓕᐃᖕᒋᒻᒪᑕ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᒍᓯᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᓚᐅᑎᑕᐅᖕᒋᒃᑯᑎᒃ ᐸᕐᓇᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ (ᑕᒪᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 418).

ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᕐᑲᑎᑏᖕᒋᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᒍᑎᖕᒋᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ (1) ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᖕᒐᕕᓕᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᒫᖕᒐᖕᒋᑦᑐᖅ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ (ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 461 ᑐᕈᖕᒋᑦ 2-19) ᐊᒻᒪᓗ (2) ᐊᓯᔾᔨᑦᑐᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᓴᐳᒻᒥᒍᓯᐅᔪᑦ (ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᕐᑲᑎᑏᖕᒋᑕ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ - ᑐᑦᓯᕋᕈᑏᑦ ᓄᖅᑲᐅᔾᔭᐅᑲᐃᓐᓇᕐᑯᓪᓗᒍ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 2).

ᓇᓗᓇᖕᒋᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᐱᑕᖃᕐᒪᑦ ᐱᓗᐊᑦᑕᐅᓕᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐃᓱᒫᓗᒍᒻᒥᒃ ᑕᒪᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ (ᑕᒪᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 432 ᑐᕈᖕᒋᑦ 6-8).
- ᐋᕿᑕᐅᑉᐸᑕ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᓄᑦᑎᕐᓯᒍᑕᐅᒻᒪᑕ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓄᓐᓂᒃ, ᑕᐃᒫᒃ ᑭᒡᒐᐅᒻᒪᑕ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ, ᒐᕙᒪᓄᑦ (ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᕐᑲᑎᑏᖕᒋᑕ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 3).
- ᐱᓕᕆᔨᕕᖕᒐᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᕐᑲᕋᓱᐊᓚᐅᖕᒋᒻᒪᑕ ᐊᑦᑐᕐᑕᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐋᕿᓯᕐᒋᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᒍᓯᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᑖᒍᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᕐᒥ ᓄᓇᕐᑯᑎᑖᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᖕᒋᕐᑲᑎᑏᒍᑏᑦ ᐃᓚᖕᒐ 5.7.3, ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᕐᑲᕋᑎᒃ ᐊᓯᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᒡᒐᑎᓂᒃ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ, ᓯᕗᕐᖕᒐᒍᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑯᔨᓂᕐᒥ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᕐᔪᐊᓄᑦ (ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 461 ᑐᕈᖕᒋ 7-14).

- ᐱᑕᖃᕐᒪᑦ ᓱᕗᓪᓕᐅᑎᑦᓯᒍᒻᒥᒃ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᓕᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᕐᑕᐅᖕᒐᕐᓗᓂ ᐅᑯᐊᖑᙱᑦᑐᒻ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ, ᑕᐃᒫᒃ ᐊᓚᒃᑲᕐᑕᐅᒻᒪᑦ ᐅᑭᓂ "ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᖃᖕᒐᓕᒫᒃᑯᑦ: ᑎᓕᓃ (Deʔłı̨ne) ᐸᕐᓇᒍᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᑦ", ᐊᓚᒃᑲᕐᑕᑦ ᔭᓐᓄᐊᓇ 8, 2016 ᐅᑯᓇᖕᒐᑦ ᓄᓇᓖᑦ ᑎᓕᓃᒥ ᑐᑭᒧᐊᕈᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑕᐃᕐᐸᑦ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ (ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 462 ᑐᑭᖕᒋᑦ 4-13).[1]

- ᐱᑕᖃᕐᒪᑦ ᓴᖕᒋᔪᒥᒃ ᑲᒪᒍᒪᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᖕᒐᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᑦ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᑎᑦᓯᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑦᓯᓗᑎᒃ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓄᑦ-ᑲᒪᒋᔭᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᒍᑏᑦ ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᓯᐊᒍᕐᓗᓂ ᐅᑯᐊ ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ-ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑎᑕᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑲᕐᑕᖕᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ (ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᖕᒋᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 468 ᑐᑭᖕᒋᑦ 1-10).

- ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᓄᒡᓴᓕᐅᕐᕕᖕᒋᑦ ᓴᖑᓯᒪᒻᒪᑕ ᓂᕆᐅᓇᖕᒋᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᓱᔾᔨᑦᑐᑦ ᓴᐳᒻᒥᒍᑏᑦ ᓴᖑᑦᑕᓂᕐᓴᐃᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑭᒡᒍᑕᐅᓂᕐᓴᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᒪᑯᓇᖕᒐᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᕐᑕᐅᒪᔪᑦ ᓴᐳᒻᒥᕐᕕᐅᔪᑦ ᓇᓕᖕᒋᑦ (ᕿᑎᕐᒥᐅᓂ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑎᔨᖃᑎᑏᖕᒋᑕ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ - ᑐᑦᓯᕋᐅᑏᑦ ᓄᖃᐅᔾᔭᐅᑲᐃᓐᓇᕐᑯᓪᓗᒍ, ᐅᐃᒍᖕᒐ 2).

- ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔭᑐᖃᖕᒋᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᑎᓂᒃ-ᐊᕐᓇᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᐸᓪᓗᓂ ᐃᒫᖕᒐᖕᒋᑦᑐᖅ ᐊᖑᑏᓐᓇᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᖅ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 446 ᑐᑭᖕᒋᑦ 5-9).

ᓄᐊᖅ ᓱᓕᕝ ᐊᓪᓚᖕᒐᔪᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᒍᑎᖕᒋᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᒍᑏᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕝᓇ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑏᑦ-ᑲᒪᒋᔭᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 362 ᑐᑭᖕᒋᑦ 1-6).

ᓇᓗᓇᖕᒋᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ

- ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐱᑕᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᒪᑕ ᐊᖕᒋᔪᒥᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᕐᒥᒃ (ᓄᐊᖅ ᓱᓕᕝ ᐊᓪᓚᖕᒐᔪᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 3).

- ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᑦ ᑭᓪᓕᖃᕐᐸᑕ ᐃᒫᒃ 340 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ, ᑕᐃᒫᒃ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᐅᒻᒪᑦ ᐅᑯᓄᖕᒐ ᖁᖅᓗᖅᑐᖅᒥ ᐊᖑᓂᐊᑎᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒥᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑎᒥᖕᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᑕᖕᒋᓐᓂ ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ, ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᑲᔪᓯᔪᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᕐᑕᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᓯᐊᖅᒥ, ᐃᓚᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐋᕿᑦᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᓄᑦ ᑭᓪᓕᒋᔭᑦ (ᓄᐊᖅ ᓱᓕᕝ ᐊᓪᓚᖕᒐᔪᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᖕᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 3).

- ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂᒃ-ᑐᙵᕕᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐅᓚᑦᓯᓐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒋᔭᐅᓂᕐᓴᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑲᕐᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᑐᑎᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓗᓂ ᖃᖕᒐᑐᐃᓐᓇᒃᑯᑦ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 363 ᑐᑭᖕᒋᑦ 15-18).

- ᐊᖑᑏᓐᓇᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᖅ ᐊᒥᓱᕈᕐᐸᓪᓕᒍᑕᐅᓚᖕᒐᔪᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᑎᕐᔫᒥᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 362-363).

ᕗᐃᒃᑉᐃᔨ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᒍᑎᖕᒋᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᓴᐳᒻᒥᒍᑕᐅᓂᐊᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᔪᕐᑯᓇᒋᑦ ᓱᕗᓂᑦᑎᓐᓂ ᐅᑎᕐᔫᒥᓂᖕᒋᑦ (ᕗᐃᒃᑉᐃᔨ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 3).

---

[1] ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕐᓱᐊᖅᒥ ᒫᓐᓇ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᖃᕐᑐᑦ ᐋᕿᑦᓱᐃᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᕿᒥᒃ ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᕐᓱᐊᖅᒥ. ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᔪᐊᑦ ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑎᖕᒋᓐᓂ, ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᕐᓱᐊᖅᒥ - ᑐᒋᒧᐊᕐᑎᑦᓯᔾᔨ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐅᖃᓚᐅᕐᑐᖅ ᐃᒫᒃ ᑎᓕᓃ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑎᖕᒋᑦ ᐱᐅᓯᖃᕐᓱᐊᖕᒋᖕᒐᒪᑕ ᐊᓱᐊᒍᕈᑕᐅᓚᖕᒋᑦ ᐅᑯᓄᖕᒐ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᕿᒥᒃ; ᐃᒪᐃᕐᑑᓚᐅᕐᑐᓪᓕ ᐃᑲᔪᕈᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᓇᒥᓕᒫᖅ ᑲᑎᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᙱᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᕿᒥᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᑎᓕᓃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑐᓂᔾᐅᒍᑎᒥᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ (ᑕᑯᒍᒃ ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑲᒪᑎᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᐸᕐᑐᒐᖅ 502-504).

ᓇᓗᓇᖕᒋᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᕗᐃᒃᑰᔅ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ ᒫᓐᓇᕈᓗᒃ ᐱᔭᕇᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᓇᒻᒥᓂᖅ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥᓂ ᑲᒪᒋᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐋᕿᑦᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 950, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᓯᖕᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᓐᓂᖅ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐᓄᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᑦ, ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᑭᑐᐃᑦ ᐃᓗᐊᓂ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᖅ (ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑭᒃᑯᓕᒫᓂᒃ ᓈᓚᑦᑎᑦᓯᒍᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒥᓃᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 457 ᑐᑭᖕᒋᑦ 10-16).

- ᐃᓱᒪᒋᓗᒍ ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᓂᖕᒐ ᐱᓗᐊᑦᑕᐃᓕᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐃᓱᒫᓗᒍᑎᐅᑉ ᕿᖕᒐᐅᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ, ᐃᓘᓐᓇᑎᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᐸᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᕆᐊᓖᑦ ᖃᓄᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐱᑕᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᓴᐳᒻᒥᓗᒋᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ (ᕗᐃᒃᑰᔅ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑕ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 3).

ᑭᒃᑯᑐᐃᓐᓇᐃᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ - ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᒍᑎᖕᒋᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 332, ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ 35% ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᓇᒥᓕᒫᖅ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᖕᒍᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᑕᐅᕙᓪᓗᑎᒃ (ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ/ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᖅᒥ) ᑲᑐᔾᔭᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 1).

ᓇᓗᓇᖕᒋᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᒐᕙᒪᓐᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᖅᒥ - ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑐᓴᕐᕕᕐᑲᕐᓯᐊᓚᐅᖕᒋᑦᑐᑦ ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᓐᓂᒃ ᓯᕗᕐᖓᒍᑦ ᐋᕿᑦᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᒫᓐᓇᐅᓂᖕᒐᓂ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᑐᓂᐅᕐᑲᕐᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᐃᒪᒃ ᑭᖑᕐᖓᒍᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᓕᕐᑐᑎᒃ ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᐃᓱᒪᒋᔭᓄᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᑐᓂᐅᕐᑲᕐᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ, ᐃᓚᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ 36% ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑎᓄᑦ (ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ/ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᖅᒥ) ᑲᑐᔾᔭᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 1).

- ᑕᐃᑲᓂ ᔭᓐᓄᐊᓇ 20, 2016 ᑲᑎᒪᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂ ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑏᑦ ᐅᖃᓕᒪᐅᓯᖃᕐᑐᑎᒃ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᑐᓂᐅᕐᑲᕐᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ, ᐃᓘᓐᓇᒐᓚᑎᒃ ᐊᑐᕐᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᕐᒥ ᐃᑲᔪᓚᐅᕐᑐᑦ ᑐᑦᓯᕋᐅᒻᒥᒃ ᐅᕙᖕᒐᑦ ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ ᐋᕿᑦᑕᐅᕐᑯᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᒃᑐᑦ ᑐᓂᐅᕐᑲᒐᑦ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 2% ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᑐᓂᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑎᓄᑦ, ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᒐᔾᔭᕐᑐᖅ ᓄᓇᕗᑦᒥ ᐱᑕᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᑐᓄᑦ ᑐᓂᐅᕐᑲᒐᕐᓄᑦ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 35%, ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ 332 ᑐᒃᑐᐃᑦ ᑖᒃᑯᓇᖕᒐᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᒍᓐᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 950 (ᐃᓄᕕᐊᓗᐃᑦ ᐆᒪᔪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ/ᐆᒪᔪᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔾᔨᒋᐊᕐᑏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ (ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᖅᒥ) ᑲᑐᔾᔭᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 2).

ᑭᒃᑯᑐᐃᓐᓇᐃᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ - ᑎᓕᑦᓱ (Tłı̨chǫ) ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒋᑦ

ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᒍᑎᖕᒋᑦ: ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᑦ ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᒋᑦᑕᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᑕᐅᓂᖅᒥ ᐃᒫᑎᒋ 0 (Tłı̨chǫ ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 1).

ᓇᓗᓇᖕᒋᒍᑏᑦ/ᐊᐃᕙᒍᑏᑦ:

- ᑎᓕᑦᓱ (Tłı̨chǫ) ᐃᖅᑭᓕᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᒋᐊᕆᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᖑᓇᓱᒃᐸᑦᑕᒥᓂᖅ ᑕᐃᕐᐸᒃ ᑲᓇᓐᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᑐᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᒫᓐᓇᕈᓗᒃ ᐊᒃᑦᓴᓂ ᑭᒃᒍᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐃᓄᐃᓴᓪᓕᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑐᒋᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᑎᑦᓪᓗᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᐅᔪᑦ ᓇᒥᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᐊᑐᕐᑎᓄᑦ (ᑎᓕᑦᓱ (Tłı̨chǫ) ᒐᕙᒪᖕᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ, ᒪᑉᐱᕐᑐᒐᖅ 1).